

A 45-Minute Vigorous Exercise Bout Increases Metabolic Rate for 14 Hours

AMY M. KNAB¹, R. ANDREW SHANELY¹, KAREN D. CORBIN², FUXIA JIN¹, WEI SHA³, and DAVID C. NIEMAN¹

¹Human Performance Laboratory, Appalachian State University, North Carolina Research Campus, Kannapolis, NC;

²University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Nutrition Research Institute, North Carolina Research Campus, Kannapolis, NC; and ³Bioinformatics Research Center, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, North Carolina Research Campus, Kannapolis, NC

ABSTRACT

KNAB, A. M., R. A. SHANELY, K. D. CORBIN, F. JIN, W. SHA, and D. C. NIEMAN. A 45-Minute Vigorous Exercise Bout Increases Metabolic Rate for 14 Hours. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*, Vol. 43, No. 9, pp. 1643–1648, 2011. **Introduction:** The magnitude and duration of the elevation in resting energy expenditure after vigorous exercise have not been measured in a metabolic chamber. This study investigated the effects of inserting a 45-min vigorous cycling bout into the daily schedule versus a controlled resting day on 24-h energy expenditure in a metabolic chamber. **Methods:** Ten male subjects (age = 22–33 yr) completed two separate 24-h chamber visits (one rest and one exercise day), and energy balance was maintained for each visit condition. On the exercise day, subjects completed 45 min of cycling at 57% W_{\max} (mean \pm SD = 72.8% \pm 5.8% $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$) starting at 11:00 a.m. Activities of daily living were tightly controlled to ensure uniformity on both rest and exercise days. The area under the energy expenditure curve for exercise and rest days was calculated using the trapezoid rule in the EXPAND procedure in the SAS and then contrasted. **Results:** The 45-min exercise bout resulted in a net energy expenditure of 519 \pm 60.9 kcal ($P < 0.001$). For 14 h after exercise, energy expenditure was increased 190 \pm 71.4 kcal compared with the rest day ($P < 0.001$). **Conclusions:** In young male subjects, vigorous exercise for 45 min resulted in a significant elevation in postexercise energy expenditure that persisted for 14 h. The 190 kcal expended after exercise above resting levels represented an additional 37% to the net energy expended during the 45-min cycling bout. The magnitude and duration of increased energy expenditure after a 45-min bout of vigorous exercise may have implications for weight loss and management. **Key Words:** EXERCISE, ENERGY EXPENDITURE, WHOLE BODY CALORIMETRY, METABOLIC CHAMBER, RESTING METABOLIC RATE

Measurement of the various components of energy expenditure including the resting metabolic rate (RMR) has improved our understanding of energy balance as it relates to human obesity. Accurate assessment of RMR requires sophisticated methodologies including direct and indirect calorimetry. Open-circuit indirect calorimetry Douglas bag systems and metabolic carts are most commonly used when measuring RMR, but measurement time is typically limited to 15–30 min and then extrapolated to 24-h periods. Whole-room indirect calorimeters (i.e., metabolic chambers) allow extended measurement of energy expenditure with tight control of energy intake and the daily schedule.

Studies using Douglas bag systems and metabolic carts have shown that 15–30 min of moderate to vigorous exer-

cise causes a small increase in RMR that persists for a short time after exercise (25). One study of 10 male triathletes, for example, showed that three separate cycling bouts of 20-, 30-, and 60-min duration and 75%, 50%, and 50% maximum aerobic capacity, respectively, resulted in 12–30 net calories expended more than 20–33 min after exercise (25). Others report an extended increase in postexercise energy expenditure after just 20 min of cycling at 70% maximum aerobic capacity (3), and differences between studies may be related to control of subject energy intake and daily activities. Several investigators emphasize that elevations in postexercise energy expenditure depend on the degree of homeostatic disturbance and that RMR is elevated especially after high-intensity long-duration exercise (6,23). For example, rates for postexercise energy expenditure were elevated for 0.3, 3.3, and 10.5 h in six males cycling for 80 min at 29%, 50%, and 75% of maximum aerobic capacity (4). Methods using indirect calorimetry have suggested that the magnitude of the elevation in energy expenditure after exercise is dependent on the intensity of the exercise (15); thus, resolving the issue of the duration and magnitude of the increase in energy expenditure after exercise bouts is important when considering the potential effect on total 24-h energy expenditure.

Metabolic chambers have been used to investigate the effects of physical activity on substrate utilization (18,19,26)

Address for correspondence: David C. Nieman, Ph.D., Human Performance Laboratory, Appalachian State University, North Carolina Research Campus, 600 Laureate Way, Kannapolis, NC 28081; E-mail: niemandc@appstate.edu.

Submitted for publication August 2010.

Accepted for publication January 2011.

0195-9131/11/4309-1643/0

MEDICINE & SCIENCE IN SPORTS & EXERCISE®

Copyright © 2011 by the American College of Sports Medicine

DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182118891

and 24-h energy expenditure (21,24). However, few studies to date have analyzed the effects of physical activity on postexercise net energy expenditure during a 24-h period. Dionne et al. (9) investigated the effect of moderate-intensity exercise (50% $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ for 60 min) on 24-h energy expenditure and substrate utilization in eight young healthy males and reported no difference in 24-h energy expenditure or respiratory quotient (RQ) between control and exercise sessions. Subjects exercised in the middle of the afternoon outside of the chamber and immediately ingested a milk shake that equaled the energy expended during exercise. These research design characteristics and the moderate intensity of the exercise bout may explain the reported results. Truth et al. (29) reported an increase in 24-h energy expenditure when contrasting high- and low-intensity exercise bouts, but the research design did not include a rest day for the determination of the magnitude and duration of postexercise net energy expenditure.

This study investigated the effect of 45 min of vigorous cycling (57% W_{max} or $\sim 70\%$ $\dot{V}O_{2max}$) on postexercise RMR as measured in a metabolic chamber, under tightly controlled conditions of daily living. The exercise session was conducted late in the morning and contrasted with a rest day to determine both the magnitude and duration of vigorous exercise on postexercise energy expenditure.

METHODS

Subjects. Ten healthy male subjects (age range = 22–33 yr) were recruited via mass advertisement. Inclusion criteria included the following: subjects had to be nonsmokers, in good physical condition and capable of cycling vigorously for 45 min, and with no adverse medical issues including anxiety within closed spaces. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject, and the experimental procedures were approved by the institutional review board of Appalachian State University.

Baseline testing. Two weeks before the study, subjects came to the North Carolina Research Campus Human Performance Laboratory for baseline testing that included body composition and $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ testing and a full orientation regarding study requirements. Body composition was measured via dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (GE Lunar iDXA; Milwaukee, WI). RMR was calculated using a fat-free mass-based equation ($418 + (20.3 \text{ fat-free mass})$) (2). This estimated RMR was used for calculating total dietary energy intake while in the metabolic chamber (1.4RMR) and then adjusted using measured data (see below). $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ was measured using the COSMED Quark CPET metabolic cart (Rome, Italy) with the Lode cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport; Lode B.V., Groningen, The Netherlands) and a graded protocol with a $15\text{-W}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ increase to exhaustion (28). Several criteria were used to determine $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ including an RER of 1.15 and higher, a plateau of oxygen consumption, and a maximal HR within 12 beats of the predicted maximum.

Study design. Ten subjects completed two sessions in the chamber on nonconsecutive days (Monday and Wednesday or Tuesday and Thursday of the same week). During the first session, subjects remained in a rested state and engaged in no exercise while following the schedule of events depicted on the x axis in Figure 1. During the second session, the same schedule was followed except that subjects completed 45 min of exercise on a cycle ergometer at 57% W_{max} . This order was followed to avoid the potential influence of the exercise session on energy expenditure during the subsequent session in the metabolic chamber. The duration of 45 min corresponds to the middle of the range suggested by the physical activity guidelines for Americans (30–60 min). Fifty-seven percent W_{max} corresponds to a vigorous intensity of approximately 70% $\dot{V}O_{2max}$. Subjects were instructed to avoid exercise on the days before entering the chamber and to consume foods from a specific food list that has been used in prior studies to achieve a CHO intake of approximately 55% total energy (20). Subjects were also instructed to avoid any supplements, including caffeine, for the duration of the study.

At approximately 7:30 a.m., subjects reported to the metabolic chamber in an overnight fasted state (no food or beverage other than water from 11:00 p.m.). At 8:00 a.m., subjects were sealed in the chamber and were asked to stay in a seated position unless they needed to use the restroom or perform other necessary daily activities (e.g., washing hands, brushing teeth). Breakfast was served through an air lock passage at 9:00 a.m. On rest days, subjects remained in a seated position from breakfast to 12:30 p.m. when they were asked to get up and stretch for 2 min. On both rest and exercise days starting at 12:30 p.m., subjects were asked to get up and stretch for 2 min every hour until 6:30 p.m.

Lunch was served at 1:30 p.m., and dinner was served at 7:00 p.m. Subjects were asked to remain in the seated




FIGURE 1—Average 24-h energy expenditure on rest and exercise days. Forty-five minutes of cycling resulted in 519 ± 60.9 kcal of energy expended above rest day ($P < 0.001$), whereas 190 ± 71.4 kcal was expended above levels on the rest day for 14.2 h after exercise ($P < 0.001$). Net energy expenditure difference from the start of sleep to 18 h after exercise was 32.0 ± 39.3 kcal ($P = 0.030$).

position until 8:00 p.m., at which point they were able to relax and lie down but not go to sleep. Bed time was at 10:30 p.m., and subjects were asked to lie down even if they were not sleeping. Subjects were woken at 6:30 a.m. and were allowed to move about the chamber and gather their belongings. At 7:15 a.m., subjects exited the chamber.

On arrival on the exercise day, subjects were oriented to the cycle ergometer and were instructed how to adjust wattage and report HR from the HR monitor (Polar HR Monitor; Kempele, Finland) during the test. The cycle ergometer was adjusted to fit the leg length of the subject. At 10:40 a.m., subjects prepared for exercise (e.g., stretch, change clothes, arrange room with towels and music). Subjects mounted the cycle ergometer and started pedaling at 11:00 a.m. The cycling protocol consisted of 2 min at 50% of the workload (57% W_{\max}), 2 min at 75% of the workload, 41 min at 100% of the workload, and another 2 min at 50% of the workload. Oxygen consumption and energy expenditure were measured continuously during the exercise bout, with HR recorded every 5 min. Immediately after exercise, subjects sat down for 40 min until 12:30 p.m. At 12:30 p.m., subjects were allowed to clean themselves and change clothes and then stayed in the seated position until lunch at 1:30 p.m.

Description of metabolic chamber. Studies were conducted in the newly constructed metabolic chamber located at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Nutrition Research Institute, Kannapolis, NC. The chamber was modeled after the chambers at the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD (7). The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Nutrition Research Institute metabolic chamber is an open-circuit pull-type whole-room indirect calorimeter built with walk-in cooler panels. The metabolic chamber has a floor space of 10 feet 11 inches \times 8 feet 0.5 inches, a height of 7 feet 10 inches, and an air volume of 18,346 L when fully furnished. The room is equipped with a twin bed, bedside table, chair, toilet, mirror, sink, multimedia laptop, telephone, intercom, nurse call button, specimen refrigerator, iris ports for blood draws, and two air locks that serve as food and specimen passes. There is sufficient space to include a bike or treadmill inside the chamber. The metabolic chamber has three windows, two looking outside and one looking into the observation room.

An air conditioning system mixes the air in the chamber, maintaining a preset temperature and a relative humidity of <70%. For this particular study, the average temperature maintained in the chamber was $23.1^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.30^{\circ}\text{C}$, and the average chamber relative humidity was $54.6\% \pm 1.5\%$. Fresh conditioned air is passively drawn into the chamber from an adjacent buffer zone. Mixed expired air is drawn out of the metabolic chamber by a small fan placed at the outlet of the chamber through a centralized sampling apparatus designed with evenly spaced sectors to ensure equal sampling throughout the chamber. The flow is set manually and kept at a constant rate, typically $60 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (capacity is

$120 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$). On the rest day, the flow rate was kept at $60 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ for lean individuals and $100 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ for individuals with weights $\geq 130 \text{ kg}$. On the exercise day, the flow rate was maintained at $120 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ to account for ambient CO_2 buildup during exercise. These flow rates were chosen on the basis of pilot data to assess the capacity of the chamber to handle increased CO_2 loads.

Before measurements, a small sample of air was cooled to 1°C and dried, drawn by a diaphragm pump, and filtered. The CO_2 and O_2 analyzers are differential, and their full-scale readings were set for 0%–1%. The metabolic chamber has a passive infrared motion sensor to measure spontaneous physical activity. Oxygen consumption, CO_2 production, energy expenditure, RQ, and percent activity were recorded each minute. The lag time is constant at the start and at the end of exercise. Advanced noise suppression and trend identification techniques allow for accurate measurement and time discrimination of the exercise plateau as seen by the gas analyzers. Results are then aligned with the start of the exercise time. The Weir equation for energy expenditure (EE) ($\text{kcal}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) = $3.941\dot{V}\text{O}_2 + 1.106\dot{V}\text{CO}_2$ was used for conversion of $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ ($\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) and $\dot{V}\text{CO}_2$ ($\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) to kilocalories.

The analyzers were calibrated weekly using standard gas mixtures (zero gas is $\sim 21\% \text{ O}_2$, balanced nitrogen; span gas is $\sim 20\% \text{ CO}_2$, $\sim 1\% \text{ O}_2$, balanced nitrogen). The chamber was validated using a series of propane burn tests. Five propane burns were conducted at a flow rate of $60 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$. The CO_2 recovery was $97.6\% \pm 0.6\%$ (mean \pm SD), and the O_2 recovery was $99.1\% \pm 0.4\%$. Monthly propane tests were conducted to verify the accuracy of the chamber.

Before conducting trials in the chamber, we tested the reproducibility of the 24-h EE measurement. Ten subjects (including eight from the exercise portion of the study) completed two nonconsecutive sessions in the metabolic chamber (either Monday and Wednesday or Tuesday and Thursday of the same week). Subjects were fed the same three meals during both sessions in the chamber, and urine was collected for measurement of nitrogen. The average 24-h EE difference between the two chamber sessions was $66.5 \pm 74.2 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$, corresponding to a $2.5\% \pm 2.3\%$ difference between days. For the eight subjects completing four separate days in the chamber, the average coefficient of variation for the three rest days was 2.3%.

Design of metabolic diets. Diets during chamber days were designed to provide approximately 35% fat, 55% CHO, and 15% protein. The same foods were served at all chamber visits, with the exception of the snacks provided on the exercise day to achieve energy balance. Calories were assigned to each subject on the basis of calculated $\text{RMR} \times 1.4$. To calculate the amount of calories to provide, we took into account that 93% of energy content is metabolizable (27). Menus were designed and analyzed with the Esha Food Processor SQL software (Esha Research, Inc., Salem, OR). Meals were delivered at designated times and picked up 30 min later. Subjects were asked to consume all foods

provided. Food intake was documented, and on the rare occasion that a subject did not eat all of the food provided, the food was weighed back, and the nutrients were removed from the final nutrient analysis. To ensure energy balance conditions, 3- and 7-h predictions of 24-h EE from the chamber software were used to modify the baseline menu. On the exercise day, snacks with the same nutrient composition as the base menu were provided to account for additional calories burned during exercise. Exercise EE was calculated, and approximately one-half of the calories needed to achieve energy balance were added to lunch. The final calories needed for energy balance were determined with the 7-h prediction. On the basis of this prediction, the balance of the needed calories was provided at dinner.

Statistical analysis. Two energy expenditure curves, one for the exercise day and one for the rest day, were generated for each subject with the x axis representing time (min), and the y axis representing energy expenditure (kcal). To determine the total energy expenditure for each activity period (before exercise, exercise preparation, exercise, immediately after exercise, dress, from dress to sleep, sleep), the area under the energy expenditure curve for each activity period was calculated by using the trapezoid rule in the EXPAND procedure in SAS (version 9.1.3; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). A paired t -test on the log-transformed area was performed to compare the energy expenditure of each activity period in the exercise day with the corresponding period in the rest day.

The total energy expenditure for each hour was also calculated using the area-under-the-curve method as described above. A paired t -test on the log-transformed area was performed to compare energy expenditure of each hour in the exercise day with the corresponding hour in the rest day.

The Shapiro–Wilk test in the UNIVARIATE procedure in SAS was used for normality check. The Benjamini–Hochberg method for false discovery rate correction in the MULTTEST procedure in SAS was used for multiple testing corrections.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the 10 subjects completing the study are summarized in Table 1. The young adult males in this study varied widely in body mass index, body composition, and aerobic fitness, and all successfully completed the total 47-min cycle ergometry exercise in the metabolic chamber.

Table 2 reports average workload, HR, oxygen consumption, and energy expenditure data for all subjects during the

TABLE 2. Performance data during the 47-min cycling bout in the metabolic chamber.

Measure	Mean \pm SD
Workload (W)	156 \pm 25.9
HR (beats·min ⁻¹)	163 \pm 16.4
HR (% maximal)	86.7 \pm 5.9
VO ₂ (L·min ⁻¹)	2.64 \pm 0.26
VO ₂ (mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	31.4 \pm 8.6
VO ₂ (% maximal)	72.8 \pm 5.8
Energy expenditure (kcal·min ⁻¹)	12.8 \pm 1.3

exercise bout. The relative HR and oxygen consumption data indicate that this exercise bout set at 57% W_{\max} was at a vigorous level, as defined by the American College of Sports Medicine (1). Energy expenditure during the exercise bout was 6.1-fold greater than the corresponding energy expenditure on the rest day.

Table 3 summarizes the energy and macronutrient intakes and energy expenditure data during 24 h on rest and exercise days. A significantly higher energy intake occurred on the exercise compared with the rest day, with a mean increase of 659 \pm 104 kcal·d⁻¹ ($P < 0.001$). The percent of energy consumed as CHO and fat was slightly different on the exercise day; however, this difference corresponds to <0.6%. Total energy expenditure was greater on the exercise versus the rest day by 750 \pm 121 kcal·d⁻¹ ($P < 0.001$). On the rest day, energy intake was slightly below energy expenditure, with a mean difference of 38.0 \pm 89.3 kcal·d⁻¹. On the exercise day, energy intake was also slightly below energy expenditure by 129 \pm 123 kcal·d⁻¹.

Figure 1 contrasts energy expenditure for the exercise and rest days. The exercise bout resulted in a net energy expenditure of 519 \pm 60.9 kcal (contrast in area under the curve, $P < 0.001$). Hour-by-hour analysis showed that energy expenditure was significantly elevated on the exercise day for 14.2 h after exercise, corresponding to an increase of 190 \pm 71.4 kcal compared with the rest day. This increase in resting energy expenditure included 3.5 h of the early sleep period, accounting for 32.0 \pm 39.3 kcal ($P = 0.030$).

Immediately after exercise, subjects sat quietly for 40 min, and the net energy expenditure during this period was 15.4 \pm 12.8 kcal ($P = 0.001$). Subjects next were allowed to change clothes and clean themselves with a towel, and the net increase in energy expenditure during this period was 19.3 \pm 16.0 kcal ($P = 0.004$). From this point in time to bedtime (a total of 9.7 h), the net increase in energy expenditure was 144 \pm 49.9 kcal ($P < 0.001$). Preexercise periods did not differ between rest and exercise days, and subject movement recorded with the metabolic chamber infrared monitor

TABLE 1. Subjects' characteristics ($N = 10$).

	Mean \pm SD	Minimum	Maximum
Age (yr)	25.4 \pm 3.4	22	33
Height (cm)	180 \pm 8.02	166	193
Weight (kg)	90.3 \pm 27.9	62.6	148
Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²)	27.9 \pm 6.9	20.1	39.8
Body fat (%)	21.9 \pm 11.4	9.4	39.4
VO _{2max} (mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	43.5 \pm 12.8	22.9	62.5
HR _{max} (beats·min ⁻¹)	188 \pm 10.6	166	200

TABLE 3. Energy intake and expenditure data (mean \pm SD).

	Rest Day	Exercise Day	P
Energy intake data			
Energy intake (kcal·d ⁻¹)	2400 \pm 448	3058 \pm 462	<0.0001
CHO (% of energy)	49.7 \pm 1.4	50.3 \pm 1.1	0.0002
Fat (% of energy)	33.3 \pm 1.2	32.8 \pm 0.9	0.0005
Protein (% of energy)	16.9 \pm 0.7	16.9 \pm 0.6	0.31
Energy expenditure data			
Energy expended (kcal·d ⁻¹)	2438 \pm 475	3188 \pm 559	<0.0001
RQ	0.83 \pm 0.01	0.84 \pm 0.01	0.004

was not different between exercise and rest days during this 9.7-h period (data not shown, $P = 0.83$).

DISCUSSION

This study found that in healthy young male subjects, vigorous exercise (57% W_{\max} or 73% $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$) for 45 min starting at 11:00 a.m. resulted in 519 kcal expended above the rest day. Postexercise energy expenditure was significantly elevated for 14.2 h compared with the rest day, corresponding to an additional 190 ± 71.4 kcal that included 3.5 h and 32.0 ± 39.3 kcal during the early sleep period. Energy intake and expenditure were tightly matched on both the rest and exercise days to ensure zero energy balance under both conditions, and the daily activities of living were controlled.

Most previous studies evaluating the effect of single exercise bouts on postexercise energy expenditure have used Douglas bag and metabolic cart systems, with widely varying results (6,10,11,13,16,17,22,30). This variation is related to multiple factors including noncontinuous measurement of energy expenditure, the use of preexercise RMR as the criteria for normal levels (6,11,22), and the lack of tight control of daily activities of living. Despite these limitations, previous studies emphasize the importance of exercise intensity to produce sizeable increases in postexercise energy expenditure (6). For example, Phelain et al. (23) found that when subjects burned the same amount of calories either through high- or low-intensity exercise, energy expenditure remained elevated at 3 h after exercise only for the high-intensity condition. The magnitude of postexercise energy expenditure is greatest when the body experiences significant physiologic stress during prolonged and high-intensity exercise (4).

Postexercise energy expenditure was significantly elevated for 14.2 h when compared with the rest day, adding 37% or 190 ± 71.4 kcal to the net energy expenditure of the 45-min cycling bout. The duration of increase in postexercise energy expenditure is comparable to Bahr et al. (3), who assessed the effect of high-intensity exercise on excess postexercise oxygen consumption under tightly controlled conditions. In this study, subjects rested or exercised early in the morning and then remained supine in bed for 24 h while being fed three meals. Using Douglas bag methods, oxygen consumption remained elevated after 12 h after 40 min of exercise at 69% $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$. Our magnitude of increase in postexercise energy expenditure (37%), however, is substantially above the 14% reported by Bahr et al. (3).

Investigators have used metabolic chambers to measure the influence of exercise on fuel substrates and total

24-h energy expenditure (18,19,26), but only one other chamber study has measured the effect of a single exercise bout on resting energy expenditure (9). Dionne et al. (9) reported no effect of a midafternoon 60-min moderate-intensity exercise bout (50% $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$) on 24-h energy expenditure in young adult males compared with a rest day under energy balance conditions. The authors attributed the absence of postexercise changes in energy expenditure to the ingestion of a snack immediately after the 60-min exercise bout (9). This snack contained the same amount of energy and macronutrients oxidized during the exercise bout, and the authors speculated that the snack ingestion caused an accelerated replenishment of glycogen stores and recovery of energy balance. Our results argue against this rationale. The higher exercise intensity in our study caused a greater homeostatic disturbance and more than likely explains the contrast in the magnitude and duration of postexercise energy expenditure.

The prolonged increase in RMR after exercise observed in the current study could have been caused by several contributing factors including an enhanced energy flux. Subjects in our study were maintained in energy balance during both the exercise and rest days, resulting in an added energy intake of 659 kcal on the exercise day. The increased energy intake balanced against energy expenditure (energy flux) has been shown in several studies to contribute to the elevated 24-h energy expenditure on exercise days or in trained individuals (5,8,12). Other potential factors include homeostatic disturbance from vigorous exercise, as theorized by Bahr et al. (4), increased circulation of stress hormones and sympathetic tone (14), and recovery from decreased muscle glycogen levels (14).

Our data support that vigorous cycling ($\sim 70\%$ $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$) has a significant effect on 24-h energy expenditure under conditions when energy intake is balanced with energy expenditure. The magnitude (190 kcal) and duration (14.2 h) of net energy expenditure after 47-min cycling at 73% $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ are greater than previously reported in most studies conducted outside a metabolic chamber. The 24-h net energy expenditure difference between exercise and rest days was 750 kcal, a meaningful quantity over time if two or three such exercise bouts are inserted into the weekly schedule and energy intake is controlled (3,4,6).

This study was supported by internal university funding.

The authors thank Ms. Tondra Blevins for her assistance in operating the metabolic chamber.

No conflicts of interests are reported.

The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by the American College of Sports Medicine.

REFERENCES

1. American College of Sports Medicine. *ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription*. Baltimore (MD): Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010. p. 31–2.
2. Arciero PJ, Goran MI, Poehlman ET. Resting metabolic rate is lower in women than in men. *J Appl Physiol*. 1993;75(6):2514–20.
3. Bahr R, Ingnes I, Vaage O, Sejersted OM, Newsholme EA. Effect of duration of exercise on excess postexercise O_2 consumption. *J Appl Physiol*. 1987;62(2):485–90.
4. Bahr R, Sejersted OM. Effect of intensity of exercise on excess postexercise O_2 consumption. *Metabolism*. 1991;40(8):836–41.

5. Bell C, Day DS, Jones PP, et al. High energy flux mediates the tonically augmented beta-adrenergic support of resting metabolic rate in habitually exercising older adults. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2004;89(7):3573–8.
6. Brehm BA, Gutin B. Recovery energy expenditure for steady state exercise in runners and nonexercisers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1986; 18(2):205–10.
7. Brychta RJ, Rothney MP, Skarulis MC, Chen KY. Optimizing energy expenditure detection in human metabolic chambers. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2009;2009:6864–8.
8. Bullough RC, Gillette CA, Harris MA, Melby CL. Interaction of acute changes in exercise energy expenditure and energy intake on resting metabolic rate. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1995;61(3):473–81.
9. Dionne I, Van Vugt S, Tremblay A. Postexercise macronutrient oxidation: a factor dependent on postexercise macronutrient intake. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1999;69(5):927–30.
10. Elliot DL, Goldberg L, Kuehl KS. Does aerobic conditioning cause a sustained increase in the metabolic rate? *Am J Med Sci.* 1988; 296(4):249–51.
11. Freedman-Akabas S, Colt E, Kissileff HR, Pi-Sunyer FX. Lack of sustained increase in $\dot{V}O_2$ following exercise in fit and unfit subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1985;41(3):545–9.
12. Goran MI, Calles-Escandon J, Poehlman ET, O'Connell M, Danforth E Jr. Effects of increased energy intake and/or physical activity on energy expenditure in young healthy men. *J Appl Physiol.* 1994;77(1):366–72.
13. Hagberg JM, Mullin JP, Nagle FJ. Effect of work intensity and duration on recovery O_2 . *J Appl Physiol.* 1980;48(3):540–4.
14. Hunter GR, Byrne NM, Gower BA, Sirikul B, Hills AP. Increased resting energy expenditure after 40 minutes of aerobic but not resistance exercise. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2006;14(11):2018–25.
15. LaForgia J, Withers RT, Gore CJ. Effects of exercise intensity and duration on the excess post-exercise oxygen consumption. *J Sports Sci.* 2006;24(12):1247–64.
16. Maehlum S, Grandmontagne M, Newsholme EA, Sejersted OM. Magnitude and duration of excess postexercise oxygen consumption in healthy young subjects. *Metabolism.* 1986;35(5):425–9.
17. Maresh CM, Abraham A, De Souza MJ, et al. Oxygen consumption following exercise of moderate intensity and duration. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* 1992;65(5):421–6.
18. Melanson EL, Donahoo WT, Grunwald GK, Schwartz R. Changes in 24-h substrate oxidation in older and younger men in response to exercise. *J Appl Physiol.* 2007;103(5):1576–82.
19. Melanson EL, Sharp TA, Seagle HM, et al. Resistance and aerobic exercise have similar effects on 24-h nutrient oxidation. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002;34(11):1793–800.
20. Nieman DC, Davis JM, Henson DA, et al. Carbohydrate ingestion influences skeletal muscle cytokine mRNA and plasma cytokine levels after a 3-h run. *J Appl Physiol.* 2003;94(5):1917–25.
21. Ohkawara K, Tanaka S, Ishikawa-Takata K, Tabata I. Twenty-four-hour analysis of elevated energy expenditure after physical activity in a metabolic chamber: models of daily total energy expenditure. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008;87(5):1268–76.
22. Pacy PJ, Barton N, Webster JD, Garrow JS. The energy cost of aerobic exercise in fed and fasted normal subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1985;42(5):764–8.
23. Phelain JF, Reinke E, Harris MA, Melby CL. Postexercise energy expenditure and substrate oxidation in young women resulting from exercise bouts of different intensity. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 1997; 16(2):140–6.
24. Ravussin E, Harper IT, Rising R, Bogardus C. Energy expenditure by doubly labeled water: validation in lean and obese subjects. *Am J Physiol.* 1991;261(3 pt 1):E402–9.
25. Sedlock DA, Fissinger JA, Melby CL. Effect of exercise intensity and duration on postexercise energy expenditure. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1989;21(6):662–6.
26. Smith SR, de Jonge L, Zachwieja JJ, et al. Concurrent physical activity increases fat oxidation during the shift to a high-fat diet. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000;72(1):131–8.
27. Smith SR, de Jonge L, Zachwieja JJ, et al. Fat and carbohydrate balances during adaptation to a high-fat. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000; 71(2):450–7.
28. Storer TW, Davis JA, Caiozzo VJ. Accurate prediction of $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ in cycle ergometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1990;22(5):704–12.
29. Treuth MS, Hunter GR, Williams M. Effects of exercise intensity on 24-h energy expenditure and substrate oxidation. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1996;28(9):1138–43.
30. Turley KR, McBride PJ, Wilmore JH. Resting metabolic rate measured after subjects spent the night at home vs at a clinic. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1993;58(2):141–4.